arXiv:1610.07219v1 [math.CO] 23 Oct 2016

Maximizing the number of x-colorings of 4-chromatic graphs

Aysel Erey*

October 25, 2016

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{C}_4(n)$ be the family of all connected 4-chromatic graphs of order n. Given an integer $x \geq 4$, we consider the problem of finding the maximum number of x-colorings of a graph in $\mathcal{C}_4(n)$. It was conjectured that the maximum number of x-colorings is equal to $(x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{n-4}$ and the extremal graphs are those which have clique number 4 and size $n+2$.

In this article, we reduce this problem to a *finite* family of graphs. We show that there exist a finite family $\mathcal{F}$ of connected 4-chromatic graphs such that if the number of x-colorings of every graph G in $\mathcal{F}$ is less than $(x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ then the conjecture holds to be true.

Keywords: x-colouring, chromatic number, k-chromatic, chromatic polynomial, k-connected, subdivision, theta graph

1 Introduction

In recent years problems of maximizing the number of colorings over various families of graphs have received a considerable amount of attention in the literature, see, for example, [1, 5, 6, 4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16]. A natural graph family to look at is the family of connected graphs with fixed chromatic number and fixed order. Let $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$ be the family of all connected k-chromatic graphs of order n. What is the maximum number of k-colorings among all graphs in $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$? Or more generally, for an integer $x \geq k$, what is the maximum number of x-colorings of a graph in $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$ and what are the extremal graphs? The answer to this question depends on the chromatic number k. When $k \leq 3$, the answer to this question is known and when $k \geq 4$ the problem is wide open. It is well known that (see, for example, [4]) for $k = 2$ and $x \geq 2$, the maximum number of x-colorings of a graph in $\mathcal{C}_2(n)$ is equal to $x(x-1)^{n-1}$, and extremal graphs are trees when $x \geq 3$. For $k = 3$, Tomescu [13] settled the problem by showing the following:

Theorem 1.1. [13] If G is a graph in $\mathcal{C}_3(n)$ then

$$\pi(G, x) \leq (x-1)^n - (x-1) \quad \text{for odd } n$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Denver, aysel.erey@gmail.com

and

$$\pi(G,x) \leq (x-1)^n - (x-1)^2 \quad \text{for even } n$$

for every integer $x \geq 3$. Furthermore, the extremal graph is the odd cycle C_n when n is odd and odd cycle with a vertex of degree 1 attached to the cycle (denoted C^1_{n-1}) when n is even.

Let $\mathcal{C}^*_k(n)$ be the set of all graphs in $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$ which have clique number k and size $\binom{k}{2}+n-k$ (see Figure 1). It is easy to see that if $G \in \mathcal{C}^*_k(n)$ then $\pi(G,x) = (x)_{\downarrow k}\,(x-1)^{n-k}$ where $(x)_{\downarrow k}$ is the kth falling factorial $x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-k+1)$. Tomescu [12] conjectured that when $k \geq 4$, the maximum number of k-colorings of a graph in $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$ is equal to $k!(k-1)^{n-k}$ and extremal graphs belong to $\mathcal{C}^*_k(n)$.

Conjecture 1.2. [12] If $G \in \mathcal{C}_k(n)$ where $k \geq 4$ then

$$\pi(G,k) \leq k!\,(k-1)^{n-k}$$

and extremal graphs belong to $\mathcal{C}^*_k(n)$.

The conjecture above was later extended to all x-colorings with $x \geq 4$.

Conjecture 1.3. [4, pg. 315] Let G be a graph in $\mathcal{C}_k(n)$ where $k \geq 4$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \geq k$

$$\pi(G,x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow k}(x-1)^{n-k}.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if G belongs to $\mathcal{C}^*_k(n)$.

Several authors have studied Conjecture 1.3. In [13], Conjecture 1.3 was proven for $k=4$ under the additional condition that graphs are planar:

Theorem 1.4. [13] If G is a planar graph in $\mathcal{C}_4(n)$ then

$$\pi(G,x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{n-4}$$

for every integer $x \geq 4$ and furthermore equality holds if and only if G belongs to $\mathcal{C}^*_4(n)$.

Also, in [1] Conjecture 1.3 was proven for every $k \geq 4$, provided that $x \geq n-2+\left(\binom{n}{2}-\binom{k}{2}-n+k\right)^2$, and in [7] it was proven for every $k \geq 4$ under the additional condition that independence number of the graphs is at most 2. In this article, our main result is Theorem 3.5 which reduces this conjecture (for $k=4$) to a *finite* family of 4-chromatic graphs.



Figure 1: The graphs in the family $\mathcal{C}_4^*(7)$.

2 Terminology and background

Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ be the vertex set and edge set of a (finite, undirected) graph G, respectively. The *order* of G is $|V(G)|$ and the *size* of G is $|E(G)|$. For a nonnegative integer x, a (proper) *x-coloring* of G is a function $f : V(G) \to \{1, \ldots, x\}$ such that $f(u) \neq f(v)$ for every $uv \in E(G)$. The *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$ is smallest x for which G has an x-coloring and G is called *k-chromatic* if $\chi(G) = k$. Let $\pi(G, x)$ denote the *chromatic polynomial of G*. For nonnegative integers x, the polynomial $\pi(G, x)$ counts the number of x-colorings of G.

Let $G + e$ be the graph obtained from G by adding an edge e and G/e be the graph formed from G by *contracting* edge e. For $e \notin E(G)$, observe that

$$\chi(G) = \min\{\chi(G + e)\,,\, \chi(G/e)\}$$

and

$$|\chi(G + e) - \chi(G/e)| \leq 1. \tag{1}$$

The well known *Addition-Contraction Formula* says that

$$\pi(G, x) = \pi(G + e, x) + \pi(G/e, x).$$

A graph G is called the *r-clique sum* of $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ if $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots G_n$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 \cap \cdots G_n$ induces a complete graph K_r in G (see Figure 2). In this case the *Complete Cutset Theorem* says that

$$\pi(G, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi(G_i, x)}{((x)_{\downarrow r})^{n-1}}.$$

A subset S of the vertices of a graph G is called a *cutset* of G if $G - S$ has more than one component. A connected graph is called *k-connected* if there does not exist a set of $k - 1$ vertices whose removal disconnects the graph. A *block* of a graph G is a maximal 2-connected subgraph of G. A connected graph G is called a *cactus graph* if every block of



Figure 2: The 2-clique sum of C_3, C_4, K_4.

G is either an edge or a cycle. If $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ be the blocks of a connected graph G then by the Complete Cutset Theorem,

$$\pi(G, x) = \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi(B_i, x) \tag{2}$$

The chromatic polynomial of a cycle graph C_n is given by

$$\pi(C_n, x) = (x-1)^n + (-1)^n(x-1).$$

A graph G' is called a *subdivision* of G if G' is obtained from G by replacing edges of G with paths whose endpoints are the vertices of the edges. Let $K_{p,q}$ denote the complete bipartite graph with partitions of size p and q. The *t-spoke wheel*, denoted by W_t, has vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_t$ where $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t$ form a cycle, and v_0 is adjacent to all of $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t$. Let V_t denote the graph whose vertex set is $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t, v_2, \ldots, v_{t-1}\}$ and edge set is

$$\{u_i u_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{t-1} \cup \{v_i v_{i+1}\}_{i=2}^{t-2} \cup \{u_i v_i\}_{i=2}^{t-1} \cup \{u_1 v_2,\, u_t v_{t-1},\, u_1 u_t\}$$

see Figure 3.

Proposition 2.1. If H is a connected subgraph of a connected graph G, then for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\pi(G, x) \leq \pi(H, x)(x-1)^{|V(G)|-|V(H)|}.$$

Proof. Let G' be a minimal connected spanning subgraph of G which contains H. Then, by the Complete Cutset Theorem, $\pi(G', x) = \pi(H, x)(x-1)^{|V(G)|-|V(H)|}$. Every x-coloring of G is an x-coloring of G'. Hence, $\pi(G', x) \geq \pi(G, x)$. Thus the result follows. □

Proposition 2.2. [7] Let $G \in \mathcal{C}_k(n)$ and $\omega(G) = k$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \geq k$,

$$\pi(G, x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow k}\, (x-1)^{n-k}$$

with equality if and only if $G \in \mathcal{C}_k^*(n)$.

3 Proof of the main result

To prove our main result, we need the following three lemmas whose proofs are provided in Section 4.

Lemma 3.1. Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x \geq 4$. Suppose that for every noncomplete 3-connected 4-chromatic graph H, the inequality $\pi(H,x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(H)|-4}$ holds. Then, for every connected 4-chromatic graph G the inequality $\pi(G,x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ holds with equality if and only if $G \in \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G)|)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a subdivision of $K_{3,10}$ and $|V(G)| = n$. Then,

$$\pi(G,x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{n-4}$$

for every real number $x \geq 3.95$.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a cactus graph of order n which has 6 cycles. Then,

$$\pi(G,x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{n-4}$$

for every real number $x \geq 3.998$.

We also make use of the following result.

Theorem 3.4. [11] For every integer $t \geq 3$, there is an integer $N = f(t)$ such that every 3-connected graph with at least N vertices contains a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of one of W_t, V_t, and $K_{3,t}$.



Figure 3: The graph V_t in Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. There exists a finite family $\mathcal{F}$ of 3-connected nonplanar 4-chromatic graphs such that if every graph G in $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\pi(G,x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \geq 4$, then Conjecture 1.3 holds to be true.

Proof. Take $t = 12$ in Theorem 3.4 and let $N = f(12)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of all 3-connected nonplanar 4-chromatic graphs of order less than N. Assume that for every graph G in $\mathcal{F}$, the inequality $\pi(G, x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ holds for every integer $x \geq 4$. Now we shall show that Conjecture 1.3 holds to be true. Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x \geq 4$. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to show that every noncomplete 3-connected 4-chromatic graph H satisfies $\pi(H, x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(H)|-4}$. Let H be a 3-connected 4-chromatic graph. By Theorem 1.4, we may assume that H is nonplanar. If $|V(H)| < N$ then the result holds by the assumption. So we may assume that $|V(H)| \geq N$. By Theorem 3.4, H contains a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of W_{12}, V_{12} and $K_{3,12}$. If H contains a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $K_{3,12}$ then the result follows by Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2. If H contains a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of W_{12} or V_{12} then H contains a subgraph isomorphic to cactus graph having 6 cycles. Therefore the result follows from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.3. □

4 Proofs of lemmas used in the proof of the main result

4.1 Reduction to 3-connected graphs

Let S be a set of vertices in a graph G. An *S-lobe* of G is an induced subgraph of G whose vertex set consists of S and the vertices of a component of $G - S$. A k-chromatic graph G is called *k-critical* if $\chi(H) < \chi(G)$ for every proper subgraph H of G.

Proposition 4.1. [15, pg. 218] Let G be a k-critical graph with a cutset $S = \{x, y\}$. Then

(i) $xy \notin E(G)$, and

(ii) G has exactly two S-lobes and they can be named G_1, G_2 such that $G_1 + xy$ is k-critical and G_2/xy is k-critical.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We proceed by induction on the number of edges. If $G \in \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G)|)$, then the equality $\pi(G, x) = (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ holds and the result is clear. The minimum number of edges of a connected 4-chromatic graph G which does not belong to $\mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G)|)$ is 8 and the extremal graph is the union of a K_4 and K_3 which intersect in an edge. So $\pi(G, x) = \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}(x)_{\downarrow 3}}{(x)_{\downarrow 2}} = (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-2)$ and the strict inequality $(x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-2) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)$ holds. Now suppose that G is a connected 4-chromatic graph with $|E(G)| > 8$ and $G \notin \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G)|)$.

If G is not 2-connected, then G has a block B such that $|E(B)| < |E(G)|$ and $\chi(B) = 4$ as $\chi(G) = \max\{\chi(B) : B \text{ is a block of } G\}$. If $B \cong K_4$ then the result follows by Proposition 2.2. Suppose $B \ncong K_4$, then $B \notin \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(B)|)$ as B is 2-connected and the only 2-connected graph in $\mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(B)|)$ is the complete graph. By the induction hypothesis we have $\pi(B, x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(B)|-4}$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $\pi(G, x) \leq \pi(B, x)(x-1)^{|V(G)|-|V(B)|}$. Hence we get $\pi(G, x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$.

Now we may assume that G is 2-connected. If G is not 4-critical then there is an edge $e \in E(G)$ such that $\chi(G - e) = 4$. Also $G - e$ is connected as G is 2-connected. If $G - e$ is not 2-connected then we can repeat the same argument as in the previous case to show that $\pi(G-e, x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G-e)|-4}$ with equality if and only if $G-e \in \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G-e)|)$. Note

that $V(G) = V(G-e)$. If $G-e \in \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G)|)$ then $\chi(G/e) \geq 4$ and hence $\pi(G/e,x) > 0$. If $G-e \notin \mathcal{C}_4^*(|V(G)|)$ then $\pi(G-e,x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ by the induction hypothesis. In each case we get

$$\pi(G,x) = \pi(G-e,x) - \pi(G/e,x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}.$$

For the rest of the proof we may assume that G is a 4-critical graph and G is not 3-connected. Let $S = \{u,v\}$ be a cutset of G. By Proposition 4.1, $uv \notin E(G)$ and G has exactly two S-lobes and they can be named as G_1, G_2 such that $G_1 + uv$ is 4-critical and G_2/uv is 4-critical. So by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\pi(G_1 + uv, x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_1+uv)|-4}$$

and

$$\pi(G_2/uv, x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_2/uv)|-4}.$$

By the observation in (1), the inequalities $3 \leq \chi(G_2+uv) \leq 5$ and $3 \leq \chi(G_1/uv) \leq 5$ hold. If $\chi(G_2+uv) = 3$ then by Theorem 1.1,

$$\pi(G_2+uv,x) \leq (x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|} - (x-1).$$

If $\chi(G_2+uv) \geq 4$ then let G' be a 4-chromatic connected spanning subgraph of G_2+uv. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\pi(G',x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G')|-4} = (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|-4}.$$

Since $\pi(G_2+uv,x) \leq \pi(G',x)$, we get $\pi(G_2+uv,x) \leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|-4}$. Now it is easy to check that

$$(x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|-4} \leq (x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|} - (x-1).$$

Hence, in each case we have

$$\pi(G_2+uv,x) \leq (x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|} - (x-1).$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\pi(G_1/uv,x) \leq (x-1)^{|V(G_1/uv)|} - (x-1).$$

By the Complete Cutset Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(G+uv,x) &= \frac{\pi(G_1+uv,x)\,\pi(G_2+uv,x)}{x(x-1)} \\
&\leq \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_1+uv)|-4}\,\left((x-1)^{|V(G_2+uv)|} - (x-1)\right)}{x(x-1)} \\
&= \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\left((x-1)^{|V(G)|-3} - (x-1)^{|V(G_1)|-4}\right)}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since $|V(G_1 + uv)| = |V(G_1)|$, $|V(G_2 + uv)| = |V(G_2)|$ and $|V(G)| = |V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)| - 2$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(G/uv, x) &= \frac{\pi(G_1/uv, x)\,\pi(G_2/uv, x)}{x} \\
&\leq \frac{\left((x-1)^{|V(G_1/uv)|} - (x-1)\right)\,(x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{|V(G_2/uv)|-4}}{x} \\
&= \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\left((x-1)^{|V(G)|-4} - (x-1)^{|V(G_2)|-4}\right)}{x}
\end{aligned}$$

as $|V(G_1/uv)| = |V(G_1)|-1$, $|V(G_2/uv)| = |V(G_2)|-1$. Now, let $|V(G)| = n$, $|V(G_1)| = n_1$ and $|V(G_2)| = n_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(G, x) &= \pi(G + uv, x) + \pi(G/uv, x) \\
&\leq \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\left((x-1)^{n-3} - (x-1)^{n_1-4}\right)}{x} + \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\left((x-1)^{n-4} - (x-1)^{n_2-4}\right)}{x} \\
&= \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\left((x-1)^{n-3} + (x-1)^{n-4} - (x-1)^{n_1-4} - (x-1)^{n_2-4}\right)}{x} \\
&= \frac{(x)_{\downarrow 4}\left(x\,(x-1)^{n-4} - (x-1)^{n_1-4} - (x-1)^{n_2-4}\right)}{x} \\
&= (x)_{\downarrow 4}\left((x-1)^{n-4} - \frac{(x-1)^{n_1-4}}{x} - \frac{(x-1)^{n_2-4}}{x}\right) \\
&< (x)_{\downarrow 4}\,(x-1)^{n-4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the result follows. □

4.2 Proof of Lemma 3.2

The chromatic polynomial of a subdivision of $K_{3,t}$ can be calculated using the chromatic polynomials of theta graphs and a certain subdivision of K_4. So, in order to prove Lemma 3.2, we shall first analyze theta graphs and a subdivision of K_4.

4.2.1 Theta graphs

A *theta* graph θ_{s_1,s_2,s_3} is formed by taking a pair of vertices u, v and joining them by three internally disjoint paths of sizes s_1, s_2, s_3 (see Figure 4). By the Addition-Contraction Formula, it is easy to see that

$$\pi(\theta_{s_1,s_2,s_3}, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left((x-1)^{s_i+1} + (-1)^{s_i+1}(x-1)\right)}{(x(x-1))^2} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left((x-1)^{s_i} + (-1)^{s_i}(x-1)\right)}{x^2} \quad (3)$$

(see, for example, [2] for details).



Figure 4: The graph $\theta_{2,1,3}$.

Lemma 4.2. $\pi(\theta_{s_1,s_2,s_3}, x+1)$ is equal to

$$\frac{x}{x+1}\left(x^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i\right)-1} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2}x^{s_3} + (-1)^{s_1+s_3}x^{s_2} + (-1)^{s_2+s_3}x^{s_1} + (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i}(x-1)\right).$$

Proof. Using the formula given in (3),

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\theta_{s_1,s_2,s_3}, x+1) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(x^{s_i+1} + (-1)^{s_i+1}x\right)}{x^2(x+1)^2} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(x^{s_i} + (-1)^{s_i}x\right)}{(x+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{x}{(x+1)^2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(x^{s_i} + (-1)^{s_i+1}\right) + x^2\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(x^{s_i-1} + (-1)^{s_i}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Calculations show that the latter is equal to

$\frac{x}{(x+1)^2}(x^{s_1+s_2+s_3}+x^{s_1+s_2+s_3-1}+(-1)^{s_2+s_3}x^{s_1+1}+(-1)^{s_2+s_3}x^{s_1}+(-1)^{s_1+s_3}x^{s_2+1}+(-1)^{s_1+s_3}x^{s_2}$
$+(-1)^{s_1+s_2}x^{s_3+1} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2}x^{s_3} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2+s_3}x^2 - (-1)^{s_1+s_2+s_3})$.

Now we rewrite the latter as

$\frac{x}{(x+1)^2}(x^{s_1+s_2+s_3-1}(x+1) + (-1)^{s_2+s_3}x^{s_1}(x+1) + (-1)^{s_1+s_3}x^{s_2}(x+1)$
$+(-1)^{s_1+s_2}x^{s_3}(x+1) + (-1)^{s_1+s_2+s_3}(x^2-1))$

which simplifies to

$\frac{x}{x+1}\left(x^{s_1+s_2+s_3-1} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2}x^{s_3} + (-1)^{s_1+s_3}x^{s_2} + (-1)^{s_2+s_3}x^{s_1} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2+s_3}(x-1)\right)$. □

Definition 4.3. Given $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define a function $G_{a,b,c}$ by

$$G_{a,b,c}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{3}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4} & \text{if none of } a,b,c \text{ is equal to 1} \\ 1 + \frac{2}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^6} & \text{if exactly one of } a,b,c \text{ is equal to 1} \\ 1 + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4} & \text{if exactly two of } a,b,c \text{ are equal to 1} \\ 1 + \frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if all of } a,b,c \text{ are equal to 1} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then for every real number $x \geq 1$,

$$\pi(\theta_{a,b,c}, x+1) \leq \frac{x^{a+b+c}}{x+1}\, G_{a,b,c}(x)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, $\pi(\theta_{a,b,c}, x+1)$ is equal to

$$\frac{x}{x+1}\left(x^{a+b+c-1} + (-1)^{a+b}x^c + (-1)^{a+c}x^b + (-1)^{b+c}x^a + (-1)^{a+b+c}(x-1)\right).$$

So, it suffices to show that

$$x^{a+b+c-1}+(-1)^{a+b}x^c+(-1)^{a+c}x^b+(-1)^{b+c}x^a+(-1)^{a+b+c}(x-1) \;\leq\; G_{a,b,c}(x)\, x^{a+b+c-1}. \quad (4)$$

To prove the inequality in (4), we consider several cases.

Case 1: $a, b, c \geq 2$.

By the definition of $G_{a,b,c}$,

$$G_{a,b,c}(x)\, x^{a+b+c-1} = x^{a+b+c-1} + 3x^{a+b+c-4} + x^{a+b+c-5}.$$

Each of $(-1)^{a+b}x^c$, $(-1)^{a+c}x^b$ and $(-1)^{b+c}x^a$ is at most $x^{a+b+c-4}$. So,

$$(-1)^{a+b}x^c + (-1)^{a+c}x^b + (-1)^{b+c}x^a \leq 3x^{a+b+c-4}.$$

Also, it is clear that $(-1)^{a+b+c}(x-1) \leq x^{a+b+c-5}$. Now the inequality in (4) follows.

Case 2: exactly one of a, b and c is equal to 1.

Without loss, we may assume that $a = 1$ and $b, c \geq 2$. By the definition of $G_{a,b,c}$,

$$G_{a,b,c}(x)\, x^{a+b+c-1} = x^{b+c} + 2x^{b+c-3} + x^{b+c-6}.$$

Also, the left side of (4) is equal to

$$x^{b+c} + (-1)^{1+b}x^c + (-1)^{1+c}x^b + (-1)^{b+c}.$$

If $b = c = 2$ then $G_{a,b,c}(x)x^{a+b+c-1}$ is equal to $x^4 + 2x + x^{-2}$ and the left side of (4) is equal to $x^4 - 2x^2 + 1$. And it is clear that $x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 \leq x^4 + 2x + x^{-2}$.

If exactly one of b and c is equal to 2, say, $b = 2$ and $c \geq 3$, then $G_{a,b,c}(x)x^{a+b+c-1}$ is equal to $x^{c+2}+2x^{c-1}+x^{c-4}$ and the left side of (4) is equal to $x^{c+2}-x^c+(-1)^{c+1}x^2+(-1)^c$. Now it is easy to see that $x^{c+2} - x^c + (-1)^{c+1}x^2 + (-1)^c \leq x^{c+2} + 2x^{c-1} + x^{c-4}$ since $c \geq 3$.

If $b, c \geq 3$ then each of $(-1)^{1+b}x^c$ and $(-1)^{1+c}x^b$ is at most x^{b+c-3}. So, $(-1)^{1+b}x^c + (-1)^{1+c}x^b \leq 2x^{b+c-3}$. Also, $(-1)^{b+c} \leq x^{b+c-6}$. Therefore,

$$x^{b+c} + (-1)^{1+b}x^c + (-1)^{1+c}x^b + (-1)^{b+c} \leq x^{b+c} + 2x^{b+c-3} + x^{b+c-6}.$$

Case 3: exactly two of a, b and c is equal to 1.

Without loss, we may assume that $a = b = 1$ and $c \geq 2$. By the definition of $G_{a,b,c}$,

$$G_{a,b,c}(x)\, x^{a+b+c-1} = x^{1+c} + x^c + x^{c-2} + x^{c-3}.$$

Also, the left side of (4) is equal to

$$x^{1+c} + x^c + (-1)^{1+c}(x+1).$$

It is easy to see that $(-1)^{1+c}(x+1) \leq x^{c-2} + x^{c-3}$ since $c \geq 2$. So,

$$x^{1+c} + x^c + (-1)^{1+c}(x+1) \leq x^{1+c} + x^c + x^{c-2} + x^{c-3}.$$

Case 4: $a = b = c = 1$.

By the definition of $G_{a,b,c}$,

$$G_{a,b,c}(x)\, x^{a+b+c-1} = x^2 + 2x + 1.$$

The left side of (4) is also equal to $x^2 + 2x + 1$. Therefore the result follows. □

Lemma 4.5. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be such that at least one of a, b, c is at least 2. Then,

$$\pi(\theta_{a,b,c}, x+1) \leq \frac{x^{a+b+c}}{x+1}\left(1 + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4}\right)$$

for every real number $x \geq \sqrt{2}$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that

$$\frac{3}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4} \leq \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^6} \leq \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4}$$

for all real $x \geq \sqrt{2}$. Thus the result follows by Lemma 4.4. □

4.2.2 A subdivision of K_4

Let $SK_4^{s_1,s_2,s_3}$ denote a subdivision of K_4 such that three edges of K_4 are replaced with paths of sizes s_1, s_2 and s_3, and all the other edges of K_4 are left undivided (see Figure 5). If uv is an undivided edge of K_4, then

$$SK_4^{s_1,s_2,s_3} - uv \cong \theta_{s_1+1,s_2,s_3+1}$$

and

$$SK_4^{s_1,s_2,s_3}/uv \cong \theta_{s_1,s_2+1,s_3}.$$

Therefore,

$$\pi(SK_4^{s_1,s_2,s_3}, x) = \pi(\theta_{s_1+1,s_2,s_3+1}, x) - \pi(\theta_{s_1,s_2+1,s_3}, x) \tag{5}$$



Figure 5: The graph $SK_4^{3,4,4}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and x be a real number with $x \geq 2$. Then,

$$\pi(SK_4^{s_1,s_2,s_3},\, x+1) \leq \frac{x-1}{x+1}\, x^{s_1+s_2+s_3+1}\left(1+\frac{2}{x^2}\right).$$

Proof. Using (5) and Lemma 4.2, calculations show that $\pi((SK_4)^{s_1,s_2,s_3},\, x+1)$ is equal to

$$\frac{x(x-1)}{x+1}\left(x^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2+1}x^{s_3} + (-1)^{s_1+s_3+1}x^{s_2} + (-1)^{s_2+s_3+1}x^{s_1} + 2(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i}\right).$$

Now, all of s_1+s_2, s_1+s_3, s_2+s_3 cannot be odd at the same time. So at least one of s_1+s_2, s_1+s_3, s_2+s_3 is even. So this means that at least one of the terms $(-1)^{s_1+s_2+1}x^{s_3}$, $(-1)^{s_1+s_3+1}x^{s_2}$, $(-1)^{s_2+s_3+1}x^{s_1}$ is negative. Therefore it is easy to see that

$$x^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i} + (-1)^{s_1+s_2+1}x^{s_3} + (-1)^{s_1+s_3+1}x^{s_2} + (-1)^{s_2+s_3+1}x^{s_1} + 2(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i}$$

is at most

$$x^{\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i}\left(1+\frac{2}{x^2}\right)$$

for every real $x \geq 2$. Thus the result follows. □

4.2.3 A subdivision of $K_{3,t}$

Lemma 4.7. Let $\{a, b, c\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t\}$ be the bipartition of the graph $K_{3,t}$. Let G be a subdivision of $K_{3,t}$ such that the edge av_i (resp. bv_i and cv_i) of $K_{3,t}$ is replaced with a path of size a_i (resp. b_i and c_i) for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Then $\pi(G, x)$ is equal to

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\pi(\theta_{a_i+1,b_i,c_i},x)}{(x(x-1))^{t-1}} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i+1,c_i},x)}{(x(x-1))^{t-1}} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i,c_i+1},x)}{(x(x-1))^{t-1}}$$
$$+\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i,c_i},x)}{x^{t-1}} + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t}\pi(SK_4^{a_i,b_i,c_i},x)}{(x(x-1)(x-2))^{t-1}}$$

Proof. We apply the addition contraction formula successively. Let $A = G + ab$ and $B = G/ab$. So,

$$\pi(G, x) = \pi(A, x) + \pi(B, x).$$

Let u be the vertex of B which is obtained by contracting a and b. $B_1 = B + uc$ and $B_2 = B/uc$. So,

$$\pi(B, x) = \pi(B_1, x) + \pi(B_2, x).$$

Let $A_1 = A + bc$ and $A_2 = A/bc$. So,

$$\pi(A, x) = \pi(A_1, x) + \pi(A_2, x.)$$

Let $A_1^1 = A_1 + ac$ and $A_1^2 = A_1/ac$. So,

$$\pi(A_1, x) = \pi(A_1^1, x) + \pi(A_1^2, x).$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\pi(G, x) = \pi(A_1^1, x) + \pi(A_1^2, x) + \pi(A_2, x) + \pi(B_1, x) + \pi(B_2, x).$$

Now we use the Complete Cutset Theorem to find the chromatic polynomials of the graphs A_1^1, A_1^2, A_2, B_1, B_2. Observe that A_1^1 is the 3-clique sum of $(SK_4)^{a_1,b_1,c_1}, \ldots, (SK_4)^{a_t,b_t,c_t}$. Hence,

$$\pi(A_1^1, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \pi(SK_4^{a_i,b_i,c_i}, x)}{(x(x-1)(x-2))^{t-1}}$$

The graph A_1^2 is the 2-clique sum of $\theta_{a_1,b_1+1,c_1}, \ldots, \theta_{a_t,b_t+1,c_t}$, so

$$\pi(A_1^2, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i+1,c_i}, x)}{(x(x-1))^{t-1}}$$

Similarly, A_2 is the 2-clique sum of $\theta_{a_1+1,b_1,c_1}, \ldots, \theta_{a_t+1,b_t,c_t}$; B_1 is the 2-clique sum of $\theta_{a_1,b_1,c_1+1}, \ldots, \theta_{a_t,b_t,c_t+1}$; B_2 is the 1-clique sum of $\theta_{a_1,b_1,c_1}, \ldots, \theta_{a_t,b_t,c_t}$. Therefore,

$$\pi(A_2, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \pi(\theta_{a_i+1,b_i,c_i}, x)}{(x(x-1))^{t-1}}$$

$$\pi(B_1, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i,c_i+1}, x)}{(x(x-1))^{t-1}}$$

$$\pi(B_2, x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} \pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i,c_i}, x)}{x^{t-1}}$$

Thus, the result follows. □

Lemma 4.8. Let $\{a, b, c\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t\}$ be the bipartition of the graph $K_{3,t}$. Let G be a subdivision of $K_{3,t}$ such that the edge av_i (resp. bv_i and cv_i) of $K_{3,t}$ is replaced with a path of size a_i (resp. b_i and c_i) for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Define

$$F(x,t) = 3\left(1+\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x^3}+\frac{1}{x^4}\right)^t + \frac{1}{x}\left(1+\frac{2}{x}+\frac{1}{x^2}\right)^t + (x-1)\left(1+\frac{2}{x^2}\right)^t.$$

Then for every real $x \geq 2$,

$$\pi(G, x+1) \leq \frac{x^{n+2t-2}}{(x+1)^{2t-1}}\, F(x,t).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, each of $\pi(\theta_{a_i+1,b_i,c_i}, x+1)$, $\pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i+1,c_i}, x+1)$, $\pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i,c_i+1}, x+1)$ is at most

$$\frac{x^{a_i+b_i+c_i+1}}{x+1}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x^3}+\frac{1}{x^4}\right)$$

for every real $x \geq \sqrt{2}$. Also, $1+\frac{2}{x}+\frac{1}{x^2} \geq 1+\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x^3}+\frac{1}{x^4}$ holds for all $x \geq 1$. Hence Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 yield

$$\pi(\theta_{a_i,b_i,c_i}, x+1) \leq \frac{x^{a_i+b_i+c_i}}{x+1}\left(1+\frac{2}{x}+\frac{1}{x^2}\right).$$

By Lemma 4.6, we also have

$$\pi(SK_4^{a_i,b_i,c_i}, x+1) \leq \frac{x-1}{x+1} x^{a_i+b_i+c_i+1}\left(1+\frac{2}{x^2}\right)$$

for every real $x \geq 2$. Observe that

$$n+2t-3 = \sum_{i=1}^{t}(a_i+b_i+c_i).$$

Hence,

$$x^{n+2t-3} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} x^{a_i+b_i+c_i}.$$

Now by Lemma 4.7, for every real $x \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(G, x+1) &\leq x^{n+2t-2}\left(\frac{3}{(x+1)^{2t-1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x^3}+\frac{1}{x^4}\right)^t\right) \\
&\quad + x^{n+2t-2}\left(\frac{1}{x(x+1)^{2t-1}}\left(1+\frac{2}{x}+\frac{1}{x^2}\right)^t\right) \\
&\quad + x^{n+2t-2}\left(\frac{(x-1)}{(x+1)^{2t-1}}\left(1+\frac{2}{x^2}\right)^t\right) \\
&= \frac{x^{n+2t-2}}{(x+1)^{2t-1}}\, F(x,t).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We shall show that

$$\pi(G, x+1) < (x+1)_{\downarrow 4}\, x^{n-4}$$

holds for every real number $x \geq 2.95$. Take $t = 10$ for the rest of the proof. Recall that

$$F(x,t) = 3\left(1 + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{1}{x^4}\right)^t + \frac{1}{x}\left(1 + \frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\right)^t + (x-1)\left(1 + \frac{2}{x^2}\right)^t.$$

By Lemma 4.8, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{x^{n+2t-2}}{(x+1)^{2t-1}}\, F(x,t) < (x+1)_{\downarrow 4}\, x^{n-4}$$

which is equivalent to showing that

$$x^{2t+2}\, F(x,t) < (x+1)^{2t-1}\, (x+1)_{\downarrow 4}.$$

Calculations show that $x^{2t+2}\, F(x,t)$ is equal to

$$x^{-2t+1}\left(3x(x^4+x^3+x+1)^t + (x^4+2x^3+x^2)^t + x(x-1)(x^4+2x^2)^t\right).$$

So we shall show that

$$q(x) := 3x(x^4+x^3+x+1)^t + (x^4+2x^3+x^2)^t + x(x-1)(x^4+2x^2)^t$$

is less than $r(x) := x^{2t-1}(x+1)^{2t-1}(x+1)_{\downarrow 4}$ for all $x \geq 2.95$. Let

$$p(x) = r(x) - q(x).$$

Calculations show that for $t = 10$, the polynomial $p(x)$ has positive leading coefficient and the largest real root of $p(x)$ is $2.9408\ldots$. Thus the result follows.

4.3 Proof of Lemma 3.3

Lemma 4.9. Let G be a cactus graph with t edges and p cycles $C_1, \ldots, C_p$ where $|V(C_i)| = n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then

$$\pi(G,x) = \frac{(x-1)^{t+p}}{x^{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}((x-1)^{n_i-1} + (-1)^{n_i})$$

Proof. By the formula given in equation (2),

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(G,x) &= \frac{1}{x^{t+p-1}}\,(x(x-1))^t \prod_{i=1}^{p}\pi(C_i,x) \\ &= \frac{(x-1)^t}{x^{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\pi(C_i,x).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi(C_i, x) = (x-1)^{n_i} + (-1)^{n_i}(x-1)$, the latter simplifies to

$$\frac{(x-1)^{t+p}}{x^{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{p}((x-1)^{n_i-1} + (-1)^{n_i}).$$

Thus the result follows. □

Lemma 4.10. Let $p, N_1, \ldots, N_p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be such that $N = \sum_{i=1}^{p} N_i$ and $N_1, \ldots, N_p \geq 3$. Then,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p}(x^{N_i} + 1) \leq x^{N-3p}\left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{3p}$$

for every real $x \geq 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^{p}(x^{N_i} + 1) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{p}{i}x^{N-3i} \\
&= x^{N-3p}\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{p}{i}x^{3p-3i} \\
&\leq x^{N-3p}\sum_{i=0}^{3p}\binom{3p}{i}x^{3p-i}\left(\frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{i} \\
&= x^{N-3p}\left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{3p}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds since

$$\binom{p}{i} \leq \frac{1}{3^i}\binom{3p}{i}$$

for all $i = 0, \ldots, p$. □

Lemma 4.11. Let G be a cactus graph of order n with t edges and p cycles $C_1, \ldots, C_p$ where $|V(C_i)| = n_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then,

$$\pi(G, x+1) \leq \frac{x^{n-8p-1}(3x^3+1)^{3p}}{3^{3p}(x+1)^{p-1}}$$

for every real $x \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume that exactly l of the cycles $C_1, \ldots, C_p$ are equal to C_3 where $0 \leq l \leq p$. Without loss we may assume $n_1, \ldots, n_l = 3$ and $n_{l+1}, \ldots, n_p \geq 4$. Also observe that

$$n = t - p + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i$$

holds. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(G, x+1) &= \frac{x^{t+p}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{p} (x^{n_i-1} + (-1)^{n_i}) && (6)\\
&= \frac{x^{t+p}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{l} (x^{n_i-1} - 1) \prod_{i=l+1}^{p} (x^{n_i-1} + (-1)^{n_i}) && (7)\\
&\leq \frac{x^{t+p}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{l} x^{n_i-1} \prod_{i=l+1}^{p} (x^{n_i-1} + 1) && (8)\\
&\leq \frac{x^{t+p}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \, x^{\sum_{i=1}^{l}(n_i-1)} \, x^{\left(\sum_{i=l+1}^{p}(n_i-1)\right)-3(p-l)} \left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{3(p-l)} && (9)\\
&\leq \frac{x^{t+p}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \, x^{\sum_{i=1}^{l}(n_i-1)} \, x^{\left(\sum_{i=l+1}^{p}(n_i-1)\right)-3p} \left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{3p} && (10)\\
&= \frac{x^{t+p}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \, x^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}(n_i-1)\right)-3p} \left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{3p} && (11)\\
&= \frac{x^{n-2p-1}}{(x+1)^{p-1}} \left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{3p} && (12)\\
&= \frac{x^{n-8p-1}\,(3x^3+1)^{3p}}{3^{3p}\,(x+1)^{p-1}} && (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where (6) follows by Lemma 4.9; (7) holds as $(-1)^{n_i} = -1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$; (8) follows because $x^{n_i-1} - 1 \leq x^{n_i-1}$ and $x^{n_i-1} + (-1)^{n_i} \leq x^{n_i-1} + 1$; (9) holds by Lemma 4.10 (note that if $l = 0$ then $\prod_{i=1}^{l} x^{n_i-1} = 1$ and if $l = p$ then $\prod_{i=l+1}^{p} (x^{n_i-1} + 1) = 1$); (10) holds since $x^{3l}\left(x + \frac{1}{3x^2}\right)^{-3l} \leq 1$; (11) is clear; (12) holds because $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}(n_i - 1)\right) - 3p = n - t - 1 - 3p$; (13) follows by a routine simplification. Therefore we obtain the desired result. □

Proof of Lemma 3.3 We shall show that $\pi(G, x+1) < (x+1)_{\downarrow 4}\, x^{n-4}$ holds for every real number $x \geq 2.998$. Let $p = 6$. By Lemma 4.11, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{x^{n-8p-1}\,(3x^3+1)^{3p}}{3^{3p}\,(x+1)^{p-1}} \leq (x+1)_{\downarrow 4}\, x^{n-4}$$

which is equivalent to showing that

$$(3x^3+1)^{3p} \leq 3^{3p} x^{8p-3} (x+1)_{\downarrow 4} (x+1)^{p-1}.$$

Let

$$q(x) = 3^{3p} x^{8p-3} (x+1)_{\downarrow 4} (x+1)^{p-1} - (3x^3+1)^{3p}.$$

Calculations show that the polynomial $q(x)$ has positive leading coefficient and the largest real root of $q(x)$ is equal to $2.99791\ldots$. Hence the result follows. □

5 Concluding Remarks

To prove our main result we reduced the problem to 3-connected graphs and made use of typical subgraphs of 3-connected graphs which are large enough. Existence of such typical subgraphs guarantee that the number of x-colorings cannot exceed the desired upper bound. Consequently a natural question to ask is what typical subgraphs do 4-chromatic graphs have and can we make use of such subgraphs to settle the problem? A well known result due to Dirac [3] says that every 4-chromatic graph has a subgraph that is a subdivision of K_4. But unfortunately existence of a subdivision of K_4 is not helpful. For example, consider $G = SK_4^{3,4,4}$ which is depicted in Figure 5. Then G has 12 vertices,

$$\pi(G, x) = x^{12} - 14\,x^{11} + 90\,x^{10} - 352\,x^9 + 935\,x^8 - \cdots$$

and

$$(x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^8 = x^{12} - 14\,x^{11} + 87\,x^{10} - 318\,x^9 + 762\,x^8 - \cdots .$$

Calculations show that for every real $x > 2$,

$$\pi(G, x) \not\leq (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^8.$$

Also, Conjecture 1.3 (for $k = 4$) was proven in [13] for planar graphs. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, it suffices to restrict our attention to 3-connected nonplanar graphs. It is known that every 3-connected nonplanar graph distinct from K_5 contains a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ (see, for example, [9]). Note that if G is a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ then the inequality $\pi(G, x) < (x)_{\downarrow 4}(x-1)^{|V(G)|-4}$ does not hold for every $x \geq 4$, however we believe that it holds for $x \geq 7.405$.

References

[1] J. Brown, A. Erey, New bounds for chromatic polynomials and chromatic roots, *Discrete Math.* 338(11) (2015) 1938–1946.

[2] J.I. Brown, C. Hickman, A.D. Sokal, D.G. Wagner, On the Chromatic Roots of Generalized Theta Graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 83 (2001) 272–297.

[3] G.A. Dirac, The structure of k-chromatic graphs and some remarks on critical graphs, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 27 (1952) 269–271.

[4] Dong, F.M., Koh, K.M. and Teo, K.L., *Chromatic Polynomials And Chromaticity Of Graphs*, World Scientific, London, (2005).

[5] J. Engbers, Maximizing H-colorings of connected graphs with fixed minimum degree, *J. Graph Theory*, to appear.

[6] J. Engbers, D. Galvin, Extremal H-colorings of trees and 2-connected graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, to appear.

[7] A. Erey, On the maximum number of colorings of a graph, submitted.

[8] P.S. Loh, O. Pikhurko, B. Sudakov, Maximizing the number of q-colorings, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 101(3) (2010) 655–696.

[9] A.K. Kelmans, A strengthening of the Kuratowski planarity criterion for 3-connected graphs, *Discrete Math.* 51 (1984) 215–220.

[10] J. Ma, H. Naves, Maximizing proper colorings on graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 115 (2015) 236–275.

[11] B. Oporowski, J. Oxley, R. Thomas, Typical Subgraphs of 3- and 4-Connected Graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 57(2) (1993) 239–257.

[12] I. Tomescu, Le nombre des graphes connexes k-chromatiques minimaux aux sommets étiquetés, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 273 (1971) 1124–1126.

[13] I. Tomescu, Maximal Chromatic Polynomials of Connected Planar Graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 14 (1990) 101–110.

[14] S.N. Tofts, An Extremal Property of Turán Graphs, II, *J. Graph Theory* 75(3) (2014) 275–283.

[15] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, second ed., Prentice Hall, New York, 2001.

[16] Y. Zhao, The Bipartite Swapping Trick on Graph Homomorphisms, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 25 (2011) 660–680.